1	Robert E. Wall, Esq., SBN 108114							
2	Laura Uddenberg, Esq. SBN 75630 GRUNSKY, EBEY, FARRAR & HOWELL							
3	A Professional Corporation							
4	240 Westgate Drive Watsonville, CA 95076							
5	Telephone: 831/722-2444 Facsimile: 831/722-6153	·						
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff CALIFORNIA STATE AUT	OMOBILE						
7	ASSOCIATION, INTER-INSURANCE BUREAU	•						
8	Bruce Nye, Esq., SBN 77608							
9	ADAMS NYE TRAPANI BECHT LLP 222 Kearny Street, Seventh Floor							
10	San Francisco, CA 94108-4521							
11	Telephone: 415/982-8955 Facsimile: 415/982-2042							
12	Attorneys for Defendant MAYTAG CORPORATION							
13								
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
16								
17	CALIFORNIA STATE AUTOMOBILE	No. C 08-01633 WDB						
18	ASSOCIATION, INTER-INSURANCE BUREAU,	JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT						
19	Plaintiff,	STATEMENT						
20	vs.	DATE: July 2, 2008						
21	WHIRLPOOL CORPORATION, dba MAYTAG,	TIME: 4:00 p.m.						
22	and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	PLACE: Dept. 4						
23	Defendants.							
24								
25	1. JURISDICTION AND SERVICE							
26	Plaintiff filed its complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of							
27	Alameda, on February 26, 2008 and personally served the agent for service of process for Whirlpool							
28	on March 3, 2008.							

 Defendant Maytag Corporation filed a notice of removal on the basis of diversity jurisdiction on March 26, 2008.

2. FACTS

Plaintiff, CALIFORNIA STATE AUTOMOBILE ASSOCIATION (CSAA), insured the residence of Ted and Sally Inouye, located at 37986 Ballard Drive, Fremont, California. In January 1999, the Inouyes purchased a dishwasher manufactured by MAYTAG, model number MDB6000AWA, serial number 17678495UZ, which was installed in their house.

On October 1, 2007 the Inouyes arrived home to find smoke in their house and flames venting from the front of their Maytag dishwasher. Mr. Inouye asked a neighbor to call 911 while he attempted to put out the fire. The Fremont Fire Department arrived quickly, cleared all persons from the residence, and extinguished the fire. The fire itself was confined to the kitchen, but the rest of the house suffered smoke and water damage.

CSAA was immediately put on notice of the fire, and retained Fire Cause Analysis (FCA) to investigate the cause of the fire. Both the Fremont Fire Department and FCA eliminated all potential causes of the fire other than the dishwasher. Later in October, the Inouyes received a notice from Maytag dated October 22, 2007, of a recall of this dishwasher.

FCA examined the remnants of the dishwasher and determined the fire started in the front door of the appliance. FCA conducted research through the Consumer Product Safety Commission, and found information about the recall of this product. The hazard identified was that liquid rinse-aid could leak from its dispenser and come into contact with the internal wiring, causing short-circuits and fire ignition. The bulletin reported some 135 previous fires. The remnants of the dishwasher are consistent with a fire ignited as described above.

Maytag Corporation has not had an opportunity to inspect the scene of the fire nor has it had an opportunity to inspect the dishwasher or other artifacts that may have been retained. As such, it cannot admit or deny the allegations of the plaintiff at this time.

3. LEGAL ISSUES

Plaintiff claims the Maytag dishwasher was defective because the liquid rinse-aid leaked out of its dispenser, causing short circuiting in the dishwasher's internal wiring, leading to the fire. The

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

1516

1718

19 20

2122

2324

2526

27

28

parties do not see any novel legal issues arising out of these facts. Depending upon the product inspection and the opinions of plaintiff's expert, there may be a *Daubert* Motion filed.

4. MOTIONS

No party has filed any motion to date, and the parties do not foresee any motions, other than motions in limine, being filed. However, please see response to 3, Legal Issues.

5. AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS

The parties have agreed that plaintiff may amend its complaint to state the proper name of the defendant. No other amendments are anticipated.

6. EVIDENCE PRESERVATION

The remnants of the dishwasher, the garbage disposal, power switch, circuit breaker, other electrical components and wiring found in the debris were collected by FCA and are stored at is facility in Berkeley, California. Maytag may inspect the evidence at any time, upon reasonable notice.

The Inouye residence was repaired in order to allow them to move back in. The damage was documented in photographs. If the defendants wish to view the repaired residence, plaintiff will cooperate in arranging it. Maytag Corporation has provided plaintiff's several dates for the inspection and is awaiting a response.

All documents in the possession of plaintiff relevant to this claim are stored electronically, and have been preserved.

7. DISCLOSURES

Plaintiff has made a timely disclosure of the following:

- A. **Documents**: Its entire claim file, including all documentation of damage and its log of notes on the adjustment of the claim, solely excepting the log of notes on the subrogation claim, regarding which plaintiff claims attorney client privilege; the declarations sheet and policy of insurance under which CSAA paid this loss; and the reports and photographs of its expert consultants, FCA.
- B. Witnesses: the insureds, plaintiff's claims adjustor, repair contractors and plaintiff's principal expert consultant; and
 - C. Computation of damages: see below.

- A. **Documents:** The "Full Report" to The CPSC regarding the Maytag 2007 Dishwasher recall; the warranty registration by the Inouyes; Other documents such as a Parts List and an electrical schematic are being located.
- B. Witnesses: Defendant Maytag and Whirlpool Corporation have disclosed the name of the expert that will conduct the inspection, Mr. Marvin McDowell.

8. DISCOVERY

The parties believe that the initial disclosures made pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(1) will provide adequate information to evaluate this case and engage in a meaningful mediation, which will is set for September 30, 2008. If the mater does not settle, the parties will prepare a discovery plan.

9. CLASS ACTION

This is not a class action.

10. RELATED CASES

The parties know of no related cases.

11. RELIEF

Plaintiff seeks money damages as described below.

DATE	PAYEE	EXPLANATION	AMOUNT
		DWELLING REPAIRS	
11/2/07	Han's Construction	Asbestos testing fee	\$192.00
11/10/07	Restoration Management	Asbestos abatement.	\$1,040.00
11/05/07	Restoration Management	Soda blasting.	\$3,710.70
11/05/07	Han's Construction	Estimate for dwelling repair, and receipts and invoices. (Checks for Board-up \$1,716.00 and advance on repairs \$15,000 are attached. The balance remains to be paid.)	\$120,286.66
11/10/07 12/25/07 1/05/08	Fire Cause Analysis	Stearman costs for investigation to determine cause of fire.	[\$2,276.23] [\$2,715.00] [\$2,639.50] \$7,630.73

PERSONAL PROPERTY

Advance on personal property loss

Advance on personal property loss

Cleaning and storage of contents,

including list of restorable and non-

Pack out additional personal property,

LOSS OF USE

Pack out personal property.

including list of contents.

Temporary lodging.

TOTAL TO DATE

restorable items.

\$5,000.00

\$10,000.00

\$12,553.01

\$4,909.28

\$12,553.01

[\$8,815.01]

[\$1,080.01] [\$3,105.01]

[\$4,050.00] \$17,760.67

\$195,636.06

[\$710.64]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

10/10/07

1/08/08

11/20/07

11/08/07

12/01/07

10/10/07

10/17/64 11/13/07

11/16/07 12/25/07 Inouve

Inouye

Restoration

Management

Commercial

Restoration

Housing

Management

CRS Temporary

First Team/Custom

CSAA may still make some additional payments to its insureds for loss and damage to personal property. This loss became certain as of the date of loss, and entitles plaintiff to prejudgment interest.

12. SETTLEMENT AND ADR

The parties have agreed to private mediation, which is scheduled to take place on September 30, 2008.

13. CONSENT TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR ALL PURPOSES.

The parties all consent to have Magistrate Judge Wayne D. Brazil conduct all future proceedings in this case.

14. OTHER REFERENCE

The parties do not see a need for any further reference at this time.

15. NARROWING OF ISSUES

The main issue is the cause of the fire. If defendant, after inspecting the evidence, determines this fire falls within the recall, it may be possible to stipulate to the cause of the fire. It may also be possible to stipulate to damages.

Case 4:08-cv-01633-WDB	Document 12	Filed 06/24/2008	Page 6 of 7	

The parties see no need to bifurcate issues, claims or defenses.

16. EXPEDITED SCHEDULE

The parties see no need to handle this case on an expedited schedule.

17. SCHEDULING

The parties suggest that a scheduling conference be held in November 2008, after mediation. If the case does not settle, the parties can then propose a discovery plan and schedule, including a trial date.

18. TRIAL

Defendant demands a jury trial. The parties estimate the case will take two to three court days to try.

19. DISCLOSURE OF NON-PARTY INTERESTED ENTITIES OR PERSONS

The parties know of no non-party interested entities or persons.

20. OTHER MATTERS

None are known at this time.

DATED: June 24, 2008

GRUNSKY, EBEY, FARRAR & HOWELL

By /S/ Laura Uddenberg

Laura Uddenberg and Robert E. Wall, Attorneys for Plaintiff, CALIFORNIA STATE AUTOMOBILE ASSOCIATION, INTER-INSURANCE BUREAU

DATED: June 24, 2008 ADAMS | NYE | TRAPANI | BECHT LLP

By /S/ Bruce Nye

Bruce Nye, Attorneys for Defendant MAYTAG CORPORATION

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 2 COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ) ss I am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within action. I am employed by the law firm of GRUNSKY, EBEY, FARRAR & HOWELL (the "firm"), and my business address is 240 Westgate Drive, Watsonville, California 95076. 3 4 5 On June 24, 2008 I caused to be served the within JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT **STATEMENT** on the parties to this action, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, addressed as follows and delivered in the manner indicated: 6 Attorneys for Defendant Whirlpool Corporation, dba Maytag: Bruce Nye 8 Adams, Nye, Trapani, Becht LLP 9 222 Kearny Street, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108-4521 10 Tel: (415) 982-8955 11 Fax: (415) 982-2042 [By Mail]: I caused each envelope, with postage prepaid to be placed in the United States mail at Watsonville, California. I am readily familiar with the business practices of the firm regarding the collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Pursuant to such business practices, and in the ordinary accuracy of business all correspondence is described with the 12 XXX 13 14 and in the ordinary course of business, all correspondence is deposited with the United States Postal Service on the same day it is placed for collection and mailing. 15 [By Federal Express]: I caused each envelope to be delivered to Federal Express for overnight courier to the office(s) of the addressee(s). 16 17 [By Hand Delivery]: I caused each envelope to be delivered by hand on the office(s) of the addressee(s). 18 [By Fax]: On *, I served the within document on the parties in 19 said action by facsimile transmission, pursuant to Rule 2.306 of the California Rules of Court. A transmission report was properly issued by the sending facsimile 20 machine and the transmission was reported as complete and without error. 21 [By e-mail] Pursuant to CCP §1010.6(6), and pursuant to authorization from ***, assistant to ***, I scanned and emailed correct copies of the above-entitled document to ***(e-mail address). A copy of the e-mail transmission is attached hereto. 22 23 I declare, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on June 24, 2008 at Watsonville, California. 24 Machaelis 25

Csub19629(Inouye) CSAA v. Whirlpool Corporation, et al., Case No.: C 08-01633 WDB

26